## **Overview of Responses and Recommendations**

Budget Proposals 16/17 Phase Two: Library Service		Mike Brook – Library Services Manager	24 March 2016 Version 2 (Executive/Council)	
Proposal:	To reduce the library network by closing eight branch libraries and stopping the two mobile libraries. This will leave one branch library at Newbury and the 'At Home' service.  We will continue to provide assistance with access to the digital service, but will not develop the digital service any further.  *This supersedes the Phase One Proposal*			
Total budget 15/16:	£1,525,000	Recommended officer saving 16/17:	£690,000 (45%)	
Initial proposed saving 16/17:	£690,000 (45%)	Final recommendation to Executive/Council:	To close Wash Common and Theale libraries, to reduce the mobile library service to one vehicle and to make £475,000 of transitional funding available in 2016/17	
No. of responses:	In total, 2,751 responses were received, 2307 of which included comments. Of those who responded::  • 2,691 were individuals • 46 were groups/organisations • 13 were Town/Parish Councils • One was a District Councillor  102 responses were from non-users of the service.  We received five petitions.			
Key issues raised:	<ul> <li>Difficulty of travel to Newbury and costs of travel by car or bus. Parking costs and removal of bus routes all combine to make access to a library much more difficult.</li> <li>Proposal is Newbury-centric, and Newbury Library would be overwhelmed if expected to meet demands from</li> </ul>			

**NB:** This overview and recommendation paper should be read in conjunction with the Consultation Summary Report and Verbatim Responses received in relation to this proposal, circulated electronically to all members alongside the agenda pack and published online on our <u>Consultation Portal</u>.

## **Overview of Responses and Recommendations**

Budget Proposals 16/17 Phase Two: Library Service		Mike Brook – Library Services Manager	24 March 2016 Version 2 (Executive/Council)	
	<ul> <li>impact on mental health; penalises the lose access to finding employment opposition home access.</li> <li>Will harm communities, groups and qualiberkshire</li> <li>Impact negatively on NHS, Police and one passes the responsibility on to Parish/T</li> <li>What is the Council's statutory responsionsed more information to do a responsion.</li> <li>Some general criticism of Members for</li> </ul>	Town Councils and/or community groups sibility under the 1964 Public Libraries and Museums Act? se and more time to consider alternative suggestions r making this proposal es require libraries and other key services.		
Equality issues:	Beyond previously identified groups, respondents have cited families with young children as being disadvantaged by the proposals, especially relating to removal of a range of activities for mothers and toddlers, with potential impact on mental well-being and quality of life.			
Suggestions for reducing the impact on service users:	Suggestion	Council response		
	Raise Council Tax for libraries	referendum. This is for Council	vat the maximum level without calling a Members to consider for future years. Insider a library element in their precept.	
	Introduce a Home Delivery/postal service		s, but not the full range of a library's all or cost-effective across the whole	
	Raise library charges, rent out rooms, charge a subscription		ncome generation ideas only tend to otion would be illegal as it infringes the asic service.	
	Devolve responsibility for libraries to	We are in discussion with Parisl	n/Town Councils as to how they might	

**NB:** This overview and recommendation paper should be read in conjunction with the Consultation Summary Report and Verbatim Responses received in relation to this proposal, circulated electronically to all members alongside the agenda pack and published online on our <u>Consultation Portal</u>.

## **Overview of Responses and Recommendations**

Budget Proposals 16/17 Phase Two: Library Service		Mike Brook – Library Services Manager	24 March 2016 Version 2 (Executive/Council)	
	Community Organisations or Parish/Town Councils	help run the service.		
	Joint running with neighbouring library authorities. See what other authorities are doing?	We explored joint working and found our costs are already relatively low. We already achieve economies through sourcing major costs like bookstock and IT via consortia.  We regularly monitor new ideas in governance and technology, and where these can show us potential savings we will investigate them.		
	Co-locate with other services to maximise use of buildings	We will seek chances to co-locate where feasible. This will retain services but will not achieve much of a saving.		
Alternative options for applying the saving in this area:	Suggestion	Council response		
	Close Newbury and retain all other libraries; expand mobiles to cover branch closures;	Newbury Library provides over 42% of the service for West Berkshire and is a back up resource to the rest of the network.		
	amalgamate libraries; reduce open hours	These ideas would generate a much smaller saving than is required.		
	Sell Shaw House	Not economically viable because the council would have to return grant funding.		
Suggestions for how	Offers to volunteer with the library service and to donate second hand books.			
others may help contribute:	Willingness to see Council Tax rise to save libraries.			
Officer conclusion and recommendation as a result of the responses:	Evidence on equalities issues suggests there is a need to retain a significantly larger Libraries Service than the single library proposal. The level of interest from Parish/Town Councils suggests there is scope to investigate ways of working together to fund the retention of branch and mobile libraries and to seek the best model for this.			
	Based on feedback from the consultation, it is proposed that the service moves to a Self Service option that would see the retention of seven branch libraries and the closure of two (Wash Common and Theale) and the retention of one mobile.			
	It is recommended that Wash Common and Theale libraries are closed, the mobile library service is reduced to one vehicle and that transitional funding of £325,000 is allocated in 2016/17.			

**NB:** This overview and recommendation paper should be read in conjunction with the Consultation Summary Report and Verbatim Responses received in relation to this proposal, circulated electronically to all members alongside the agenda pack and published online on our <u>Consultation Portal</u>.